ADDENDUM #1 5/4/17

Please see comments/clarifications below.

1. For Tab 8 information (page 25), are we to include the information from section 9.7 (page 18) in this section?

Please advise.

A: Tab 8 seeks a description of the Design-Build Entity's technical expertise. Section 9.7 sets forth the qualification criteria for proposers. In responding to Tab 8, proposers may incorporate by reference any relevant material in Section 9.7.

- 2. Can you please confirm that the deliverables related to this RFP include:
 - a. Qualifications submission per RFP section 9.7
 - b. Technical proposal submission per RFP section 9.13
 - c. Pricing proposal submission per RFP section 9.14
 - A: Yes, deliverables related to the RFP include 9.7, 9.13 and 9.14. In addition, additional deliverables related to the RFP are set forth in Section 9.15, "Other Proposal Requirements."
- 3. Please clarify what the assigned square footages are for the program components.
 - A: Currently outlined in the RFP
- **4.** Is ESL Architecture and Urban Design precluded from pursuing this phase?
 - A: No, they are not precluded
- **5.** What is the hard cost for construction for the entire complex? This the \$16.5 number in Section 4.3 of the RFP?
 - A: Correct
- **6.** We are a bit confused about the structure you'd like for proposals and how they will be scored. Should we structure our document around the Qualification Criteria (9.7) or Technical Proposal Requirements (9.13)?
 - A: See response to Question 1 above. In addition, the purpose of the Technical Proposal Requirements in Section 9.13 is to determine the ability of the Design-Build Entity to successfully deliver the project using Design-Build delivery. Responses to Section 9.13 will be scored to determine which short-listed Design-

16/17-MB10: Design-Build for New Miwok Center — Indian Valley Campus

Build Entity should be awarded the project.

- **7.** Also, how does the scoring system described in Section N.2.Option (2) on page 12 factor into the scoring?
 - A: Section N.2.Option (2) is not factored into the scoring for the award of the project. Section N.2.Option (2) is one of two potential subcontractor selection methods that will be discussed and agreed to by College of Marin and the selected Design-Build Entity.
- **8.** In the teaming arrangements will we as a pool sub-contractor be able to team with more than one team in responding to the RFP if their intent is to use Design build sub trade contractor partners?
 - **A:** Yes, you can team as a sub consultant, on multiple teams for the submittal to the rfp.

Acknowledge receipt of this addendum by signing and submitting along with your submittal which is due only between 12:00pm and 2:00pm on May 25, 2017 to Mayra Ramirez, Buyer (Measure B Bond), 1800 Ignacio Blvd. Novato, CA 94949.

| Name of Company: | |
|---|--|
| Signature of Individual authorized to sign for company: | |
| Name Printed: | |
| Date: | |