

Fiscal Services
1800 Ignacio Blvd
Novato CA 94949
415-884-3162

PROJECT: RFP #16-0909: Indian Valley Campus (IVC) – Outdoor Aquatic Facility Design Services

ADDENDUM #2

Marin Community College District

September 23, 2016

REVISIONS/CLARIFICATIONS

1. Please find the scoring of RFQ submissions attached herewith.
2. Please note the scoring of RFQ submissions and subsequent rankings will not be considered in the scoring of the RFP responses and Interviews.

BID QUESTIONS

BQ #2 What type of existing Energy Management System is in place?

Answer: The IVC campus currently has a combination of older Andover controllers and newer Delta controllers. The Andover controllers have been integrated with the primary Delta control panel using Andover Continuum. The District is in the process of upgrading the entire campus to Delta. The new Outdoor Aquatic Facility, therefore should specify Delta Controllers.

BQ #3 Can we have access to the District Standards referred to in Attachment A of the RFP?

Answer: The District Standards are currently being prepared with anticipation of being finalized and approved by the Board of Trustees in the near future.

BQ #4 Will there be a Construction Manager involved in the project and if so, who?

Answer: A draft RFP for Program/Construction Manager services for Measure B Bond projects was presented to the District's Board of Trustees at their September 20, 2016 meeting and is available on the District's website at:

<http://www.boarddocs.com/ca/marin/Board.nsf/Public>

Issuance of the PM/CM RFP will be in the near future, so the firm is unknown at this time.

BQ #5 Can you describe how you were intending the existing geothermal field to be used? Does it currently have excess capacity?

Answer: The existing geothermal field is used for cooling only and therefore its use is not applicable to the new Outdoor Aquatic Facility.

BQ #6 Is there an arborist's report on any of the trees that might be affected by the project?

Answer: No, there is not a current arborist's report that extends to the area of the proposed new Outdoor Aquatic facility. IVC, however, will soon be undergoing a full tree study by a licensed arborist with the first area to be analyzed being the area where the Aquatic Center is to be located.

BQ #7 The Construction Budget was listed as \$9M, however it was noted that this includes soft costs. Does that mean the Total Project Budget is \$9M including all of the soft costs and contingencies?

Answer: Yes, the Construction Budget of \$9M includes all soft costs and contingencies.

BQ #8 Under Section E-Minimum Requirement, #2 states to provide acceptance of the Architectural Services Agreement. Shall the first page of Attachment B be included with the submission of the proposal?

Answer: The proposal should include a statement that the Architectural Services Agreement is acceptable to the proposer. The statement can be included in a cover letter.

BQ #9 Under Section F-Proposal Content, how would you like us to include #11 –Signatures? The company officer will sign the Transmittal Letter, but would you also like another separate page with his signature?

Answer: The signatures required by Section F, item #11 may be included on the Transmittal Letter.

BQ #10 Is there a copy of the Draft Master Plan referenced in Addendum #1?

Answer: Yes, the Draft Master Plan for the IVC campus was presented to the Board of Trustees at their September 20, 2016 regular meeting. A copy of the plan is available by accessing the meeting agenda on the District's website. <http://www.boarddocs.com/ca/marin/Board.nsf/Public>

Recap Sheet

RFQ Scoring for Aquatic Design Firms for the College of Marin- Indian Valley Campus 2016 Measure B Bond Program

Firms had to score 70% or higher to be considered and shortlisted to next phase

Firm	Reviewer 1	Reviewer 2	Reviewer 3	Reviewer 4	Total Points	Total Possible	%
1 WLC	85	80	96	80	341	400	85.25%
2 ELS	85	78	50	80	293	400	73.25%
3 Glass	75	87	68	60	290	400	72.50%
4 HED	70	79	75	65	289	400	72.25%
5 LPA	85	83	42	75	285	400	71.25%
6 QKA	85	90	65	45	285	400	71.25%
7 Aedis	75	81	45	80	281	400	70.25%
8 HKIT	75	80	40	80	275	400	68.75%
9 KH	70	84	45	70	269	400	67.25%
10 Kappe	75	69	59	50	253	400	63.25%
11 ARGPAC	60	63	84	40	247	400	61.75%
12 BCA	65	81	48	50	244	400	61.00%
13 D&D	95	58	55	35	243	400	60.75%
14 TBP	50	75	52	45	222	400	55.50%
15 JKA	70	48	48	50	216	400	54.00%
16 Jones M	65	77	54	10	206	400	51.50%
17 ABB	10	20	52	50	132	400	33.00%